Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/053,299	ZASLOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Humera N. Sheikh	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Humera N. Sheikh.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Henry E. Millson, Jr.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 August 2007	Time: <u>1pm (EST)</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		,
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
June 1/12/20 8/21/09		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Applicant's representative that the data supplied by Applicant with respect to the provisional application is inconsistent with PTO data records. Namely, the instant specification, on page 1, line 16, indicates that provisional application no. 60/263,049 was filed 01/18/01. However, PTO data records indicate a filing date of 01/22/01 for the provisional application. Examiner requested and received approval for conducting an Examiner's amendment to change the filing date in the specification from "01/18/01" to "01/22/01" to be consistent with PTO data. A Notice of Allowability will be issued in due course.